# Synthesis of novel diaryloxocyclopentanethiocarboxylates by electrochemical reduction of cinnamic acid thioesters

## Jonas Gruber \* and Fernanda Ferraz Camilo

Instituto de Química da Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 26077 - CEP 05599-970, São Paulo - SP – Brazil

Received (in Cambridge) 27th October 1998, Accepted 20th November 1998

The cathodic cyclic electrohydrodimerisation of a series of *para*-substituted (*E*)-methyl thiocinnamates in a polar aprotic solvent is presented. Only the all-*trans* ( $\pm$ )-3,4-diaryl-1-oxocyclopentane-2-thiocarboxylates are formed, as shown by assignments of <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling constants.

## Introduction

The electrohydrodimerisation (EHD) of alkenes, activated towards cathodic reduction by electron-withdrawing groups such as CO<sub>2</sub>R, COR, CN, NO<sub>2</sub> and C=NR, is a successful carbon–carbon bond-forming reaction.<sup>1,2</sup> The best known example is the EHD of acrylonitrile (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHCN) to give adiponitrile [NC(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CN]<sup>3,4</sup> and which was developed into a commercial process.<sup>5</sup>

The cathodic reduction of alkyl cinnamates in relatively aprotic media was early recognised to involve EHD followed by Dieckmann condensation under the alkaline electrolysis conditions.<sup>6</sup> Only the all-*trans* esters of  $(\pm)$ -3,4-diaryl-1-oxo-cyclopentane-2-carboxylic acids are formed as could be firmly established by assignment of <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling constants<sup>7</sup> and later confirmed by X-ray crystallography.<sup>8</sup>

In this paper we report our investigations concerning the cyclic EHD of a series of *para*-substituted (*E*)-methyl thiocinnamates 1–5 carried out in DMF containing residual water. Compounds 1–4 gave, in good yields, exclusively the cyclic hydrodimers, methyl ( $\pm$ )-3,4-diaryl-1-oxocyclopentane-2thiocarboxylates 6–9 in which the ring substituents are all-*trans*.



Compounds 1-9 with non-systematic NMR numbering schemes.

# **Results and discussion**

## The thiocinnamates

The preparation of the thioesters of (substituted) cinnamic acids, compounds 1–5, was achieved by reaction of commercial *para*-substituted (*E*)-cinnamic acids with thionyl dichloride in anhydrous diethyl ether followed by treatment with liquefied methanethiol at low temperature. Full details of a typical preparation have been described<sup>9</sup> in an earlier paper and key physical data of the new compound **2** are presented in the Experimental section.

Table 1	Cyclic vol	tammetric <sup>a</sup>	experiments
---------	------------	------------------------	-------------

Thiocinnamate ester	$-E_{\rm pc}({\rm I})^{b,c}$	$-E_{\rm pc}({\rm II})^{b,d}$
1 (R = H)	1.13	1.64
2 (R = Me)	1.20	1.69
3 (R = OMe)	1.19	1.67
4 (R = Cl)	1.06	1.56
5 (R = NO <sub>2</sub> )	0.88	1.21

<sup>*a*</sup> Thioester concentration 2–4 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>. <sup>*b*</sup> V vs. Ag/AgBr. <sup>*c*</sup> Quasireversible. <sup>*d*</sup> Irreversible; pc = potential peak, cathodic.

### Cyclic voltammetry

Single-sweep voltammetry at a mercury-coated platinum bead cathode in DMF–Et<sub>4</sub>NBr (TEAB) (0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) at 0.30 V s<sup>-1</sup> revealed for all thiocinnamates two reduction peaks (Table 1). The first, quasi-reversible, one corresponds to the formation of the radical anion and the second, irreversible, peak to that of the dianion.

The differences in the first reduction peak potentials  $[|E_{pc}|(2) - |E_{pc}|(5) = 0.32 \text{ V}]$ , as well as in the second ones (0.48 V), are substantial and probably reflect a difference in the standard reduction potentials ( $E^{\circ}$ ). These potentials are less negative in the case of an electron-withdrawing substituent ( $R = NO_2$ , 5) and more negative for electron-donating substituents (R = Me, 2; R = OMe, 3). The observed peak values for the *p*-Cl thioester 4 suggests that the withdrawal inductive effect of the Cl atom is more effective than its donating mesomeric one in stabilising both the radical anion 10 and the dianion 11 (Scheme 1). Plots of the first and second  $E_{pc}$  against



 $\sigma_{\rm p}^{-}$ , but not against  $\sigma_{\rm p}$ , show linear relationships (Fig. 1), which reflect a direct conjugation between the substituents and the electron-rich centre.





**Fig. 1** Linear relationships between  $E_{pc}$  and  $\sigma_{p}^{-}$ .



Fig. 2 Part of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound 7.

# Controlled-potential electrolyses

These were typically carried out on a 0.3–0.5 g scale, at the first reduction peak potentials  $[E_{pc}(1)$  in Table 1] referred to above, in a conventional cell equipped with a magnetically stirred mercury pool cathode, a reference electrode (Ag/AgBr), a graphite anode and an efficient microporous divider. The solvent was DMF containing 0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> TEAB as supporting electrolyte. The cathode compartment was continually flushed with a slow stream of dry nitrogen.

Methyl thiocinnamates 1–4 were converted to the corresponding cyclic hydrodimers 6–9 and the reactions were complete after the passage of ~1 faraday mol<sup>-1</sup>, at which point the current had fallen to the background level. The nitro derivative 5 did not show similar behaviour and even after 2 faradays mol<sup>-1</sup> the current was still high. A complex mixture of products was obtained and could not be properly identified. Probably, reduction of the nitro group had occurred concomitantly.

### Stereochemistry of the cyclic hydrodimers

Only the all-*trans* isomers could be observed both in the crude and purified products **6–9**. Their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (*e.g.* **7**, Fig. 2) had shown that the neighbouring protons ( $H^a$ ,  $H^c$ ,  $H^e$ and  $H^d$ ) on the cyclopentanone ring, had typical *trans* coupling constants of ~12 Hz, whilst protons  $H^b$  and  $H^c$  had *cis* coupling constants of ~7.5 Hz. These observations are consistent with the reported<sup>8</sup> coupling constants for an analoguous ester cyclic hydrodimer of which X-ray crystallography confirmed the structure.

## Experimental

## Chemicals

Most chemicals were used as received from Aldrich.

Commercial DMF was dried over anhydrous  $CuSO_4$  for 2 days and then distilled at 44–45 °C (25 mmHg) through a 40 cm Vigreaux column and stored over freshly baked 4 Å molecular sieves.

Commercial grade TEAB was baked at 150 °C overnight before use.

#### **Electrochemical experiments**

Cyclic voltammetric experiments were carried out using a USP electronics workshop-constructed triangular wave generator/ potentiostat with a PAR RE0074 XY recorder. Controlled-potential electrolysis experiments were carried out using a potentiostat<sup>10</sup> with an electronic charge integrator<sup>11</sup> constructed in our laboratory.

#### Spectroscopic studies

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR Fourier transform (FT) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-200 spectrometer using deuteriated chloroform/TMS as solvent/reference.

FTIR spectra were recorded for samples as a KBr disc, on a Perkin-Elmer 1750 series grating. Only major or important absorptions are given.

#### **Elemental analyses**

These were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer Elemental Analyser 2400 CHN.

#### Syntheses of methyl thiocinnamates 1-5

These compounds were obtained following methods described in the literature.<sup>9</sup>

Properties of the new compound methyl 4-methyl(thio)cinnamate 2. Yield: 83%; mp 91–94 °C (from EtOH) (Found: C, 68.75; H, 6.2. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>OS requires C, 68.7; H, 6.3%);  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ 3041, 2923, 1662 (C=O thioester), 1613 (C=C alkene), 1513, 1415, 1304, 1000, 858 and 810;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (200 MHz) 2.37 (3 H, s, 9-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.42 (3 H, s, 1-H<sub>3</sub>), 6.70 (1 H, d, *J* 16, 3-H), 7.20 (2 H, d, *J* 8, 2 × 7-H), 7.45 (2 H, d, *J* 8, 2 × 6-H) and 7.59 (1 H, d, *J* 16, 4-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$ (50 MHz) 11.6 (C-1), 21.5 (C-9), 124 (C-3), 128 (C-6), 130 (C-7), 131 (C-5), 140 (C-4), 141 (C-8) and 190 (C-2).

#### Controlled-potential electrolyses of thiocinnamate esters

Conditions employed for electrolyses are described above. Work-up involved quenching of the catholite in an excess of ice-water, acidification with aq. hydrochloric acid to pH 6, and extraction with methylene dichloride  $(3 \times 40 \text{ cm}^3)$ . The methylene dichloride solution was washed (water,  $4 \times 50 \text{ cm}^3$ ) and dried. The crude solid products were recrystallised from methanol.

Physical data for the cyclic hydrodimers **6–9** are given below.

**Compound 6.** Yield: 85%; mp 130–131 °C (Found: C, 73.3; H, 5.75.  $C_{19}H_{18}O_2S$  requires C, 73.55; H, 5.8%);  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3029, 2928, 1750 (C=O ketone), 1669 (C=O thioester), 1495, 1452, 1310, 859 and 761;  $\delta_{H}(200 \text{ MHz}) 2.32$  (3 H, s, 7-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.75 (1 H, dd,  $J_{a,c}$  12.5,  $J_{a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>a</sup>), 2.97 (1 H, td,  $J_{b,d}$  0.9,  $J_{b,c}$  7.5,  $J_{a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>b</sup>), 3.47 (1 H, td,  $J_{b,c}$  7.5,  $J_{c,e}$  11.6,  $J_{a,c}$  12.5, 4-H<sup>e</sup>), 3.81 (1 H, dd,  $J_{b,d}$  0.8,  $J_{e,d}$  11.6, 2-H<sup>d</sup>), 4.00 (1 H, t,  $J_{e, c \text{ or } d}$  11.6, 3-H<sup>e</sup>) and 7.09–7.32 (10 H, m, ArH);  $\delta_{C}(50 \text{ MHz})$  12.1 (C-7), 47.2 (C-5), 47.5 (C-4), 53.6 (C-3), 70.9 (C-2), 127–129 (C arom), 138–139 (C-8), 195 (C-6) and 208 (C-1).

**Compound 7.** Yield: 70%; mp 120–125 °C (Found: C, 74.3; H, 6.5.  $C_{21}H_{22}O_2S$  requires C, 74.55; H, 6.5%);  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3023, 2918, 1752 (C=O ketone), 1665 (C=O thioester), 1516 and 818;  $\delta_{H}(200 \text{ MHz})$  2.26 (3 H\*, s, 12-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.27 (3 H\*, s, 12'-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.31 (3 H, s, 7-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.70 (1 H, dd,  $J_{a,c}$  12.6,  $J_{a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>a</sup>), 2.92 (1 H, td,  $J_{b,d}$  0.9,  $J_{b,c}$  7.4,  $J_{a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>b</sup>), 3.41 (1 H, td,  $J_{b,c}$  7.4,  $J_{c,e}$  11.6,  $J_{a,c}$  12.5, 4-H<sup>c</sup>), 3.78 (1 H, dd,  $J_{b,d}$  0.9,  $J_{d,e}$  11.6, 2-H<sup>d</sup>), 3.95 (1 H, t,  $J_{e,c}$  or d 11.6, 3-H<sup>e</sup>) and 6.98–7.12 (8 H, m, ArH);

 $\delta_{\rm C}(50$  MHz) 12.2 (C-7), 21.0 (C-12), 47.1 (C-5), 47.5 (C-4), 53.1 (C-3), 70.9 (C-2), 127–129 (C-9,-10), 136–137 (C-8,-11), 195 (C-6) and 208 (C-1).

\* These assignments may be reversed.

**Compound 8.** Yield: 67%; mp 100–105 °C (Found: C, 67.85; H, 5.9.  $C_{21}H_{22}O_4S$  requires C, 68.1; H, 5.95%);  $\nu_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3059, 2967, 1692 (C=O ketone and thioester overlapped), 1513, 1251, 1030 and 827;  $\delta_{\rm H}(200 \text{ MHz})$  2.30 (3 H, s, 7-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.68 (1 H, dd,  $J_{\rm a,c}$  12.5,  $J_{\rm a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>a</sup>), 2.91 (1 H, dd,  $J_{\rm b,c}$  7.4,  $J_{\rm a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>b</sup>), 3.34–3.44 (1 H, m, 4-H<sup>c</sup>), 3.74 (3 H<sup>\*</sup>, s, 12-H<sub>3</sub>), 3.75 (3 H<sup>\*</sup>, s, 12'-H<sub>3</sub>), 3.73–3.79 (1 H, m, 2-H<sup>d</sup>), 3.88 (1 H, t,  $J_{\rm e, d \ or \ c}$  11.6, 3-H<sup>e</sup>), 6.74–6.85 (4 H, m, 4 × 10-H) and 7.00–7.08 (4 H, m, 4 × 9-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}(50 \text{ MHz})$  12.1 (C-7), 46.9 (C-5), 47.2 (C-4), 53.1 (C-3), 55.2 (C-12), 71.0 (C-2), 114 (C-10), 128 (C-9), 131 (C-8), 158 (C-11), 195 (C-6) and 208 (C-1).

\* These assignments may be reversed.

**Compound 9.** Yield: 73%; mp 125–128 °C (Found: C, 59.95; H, 4.2.  $C_{19}H_{16}Cl_2O_2S$  requires C, 60.15; H, 4.2%);  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$  3032, 2925, 1749 (C=O ketone), 1673 (C=O thioester), 1492, 827 and 774;  $\delta_{H}(200 \text{ MHz})$  2.31 (3 H, s, 7-H<sub>3</sub>), 2.68 (1 H, dd,  $J_{a,c}$  12.5,  $J_{a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>a</sup>), 2.84 (1 H, dd,  $J_{b,c}$  7.5,  $J_{a,b}$  18.5, 5-H<sup>b</sup>), 3.39–3.45 (1 H, m, 4-H<sup>c</sup>), 3.75 (1 H, d,  $J_{e,d}$  11.6, 2-H<sup>d</sup>), 3.91 (1 H, t,  $J_{e, c}$  or d 11.6, 3-H<sup>e</sup>) and 7.19–7.25 (8 H, m, ArH);  $\delta_{C}(50 \text{ MHz})$  12.1 (C-7), 46.9 (C-5), 49.8 (C-4), 55.4 (C-3), 70.5

(C-2), 128–129 (C-9,-10), 133 (C-11), 138 (C-8), 194 (C-6) and 207 (C-1).

# Acknowledgements

We thank FAPESP and CNPq for financial support.

## References

- 1 J. H. P. Utley, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1997, 26, 157.
- 2 M. M. Baizer, in *Organic Electrochemistry*, ed. H. Lund and M. M. Baizer, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991, p. 908.
- 3 M. M. Baizer, CHEMTECH, 1980, 10, 161.
- 4 D. E. Danly, CHEMTECH, 1980, 10, 302.
- 5 D. Pletcher, *Industrial Electrochemistry*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1982, ch. 6.
- 6 L. H. Klemm and D. R. Olson, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 3390.
- 7 C. Z. Smith and J. H. P. Utley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 492.
- 8 J. H. P. Utley, M. Güllü and M. Montevalli, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 1961.
- 9 F. F. Camilo, I. P. A. Campos and J. Gruber, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1998, 270.
- 10 J. Gruber, V. L. Pardini and H. Viertler, Quim. Nova, 1992, 15, 83.
- 11 J. Gruber, V. L. Pardini, H. Viertler and I. Gruber, *Anal. Instrum.*, 1992, **20**, 155.

Paper 8/083331